

**HEC MONTRÉAL**

**MSc**  
Program Office

# **MSc Supervisory Relationship Implementation Guide for Professors and Students**

**HEC Montréal**

**MSc Program Office**

**Updated: December 2017**

## Table of Contents

Preamble .....	1
1 Skills developed .....	2
2 Roles and responsibilities .....	3
2.1 Students.....	3
2.2 Thesis or project supervisor .....	4
2.3 Specialization academic advisors .....	5
2.4 MSc Program Director .....	6
3 Supervisory relationship: step by step .....	6
3.1 Student self-assessment.....	6
3.2 Choosing a topic and a supervisor.....	8
3.3 Deciding to supervise a student .....	9
3.4 Collaboration agreement.....	10
3.5 Completing a thesis or supervised project .....	11
4 What to do when there is a disagreement .....	13
4.1 Communication between a supervisor and a student .....	13
4.2 Appealing to the academic advisor .....	13
4.3 Appealing to the Program Director .....	14
Appendix 1 - Items to cover in a collaboration agreement.....	15
Appendix 2 - Items to discuss during the first student-professor meeting.....	16

# MSc Supervisory Relationship

## Implementation Guide for Professors and Students

### Preamble

The purpose of this guide is to help MSc students and their supervisors manage the supervisory relationship that is central to completing a thesis or a supervised project. It aims to help develop and maintain a fruitful and harmonious relationship between the professor and the student by clarifying the roles and responsibilities of each and by suggesting tools to guide this collaboration. Therefore, this guide does not offer a prescriptive perspective, but rather suggests an approach that each party can adapt to their needs. Its aim is to provide advice rather than set standards and regulations.

The topic of this document is the **supervisory relationship**. Therefore, it does not address the content of theses or supervised projects or administrative procedures related to these works. For more information on these topics, students and professors can refer to [MSc Thesis - Guidelines and Requirements](#) and [MSc Supervised Projects - Guidelines and Requirements](#). Also, this guide does not address financing for tuition or for producing the work; readers can refer to the program's [website](#) for further information on this subject.

This guide is a joint initiative of the MSc Program Office, the Graduate Students' Association (AECS), and the Learning and Teaching Innovation Center (DAIP) of HEC Montréal. It is the result of a consultation with professors and students through group discussions and individual communications on preliminary versions. This document is inspired by several publications, in particular Prigent (2001)<sup>1</sup> and guides from Université Laval<sup>2</sup>.

This guide is for students and supervising professors. Sections that are specifically for students or professors are clearly marked by orange (for students) or blue (for professors) text boxes.

---

<sup>1</sup> Prigent, R. (2001): *L'encadrement des travaux de mémoire et de thèse : conseils pédagogiques aux directeurs de recherche*, Montréal, Presses inter Polytechnique, 78 p.

<sup>2</sup> Faculté des études supérieures et postdoctorales (2012). *Guide de cheminement aux cycles supérieurs destiné aux étudiants à la maîtrise (avec mémoire)*, Quebec City, Université Laval, 20 p. [retrieved July 15, 2014]

[https://www.ift.ulaval.ca/fileadmin/ift/documents/PDF/Maitrise\\_et\\_doctorat/etudiants\\_maitrise.pdf](https://www.ift.ulaval.ca/fileadmin/ift/documents/PDF/Maitrise_et_doctorat/etudiants_maitrise.pdf)

Faculté des études supérieures et postdoctorales (2012). *Guide de cheminement aux cycles supérieurs destiné aux directeurs de mémoire*, Quebec City, Université Laval, 17 p. [retrieved July 15, 2014]

[http://svn.bsp.ulaval.ca/modeles/FES-Gervais-Encadrement/pdf/directeur\\_maitrise.pdf](http://svn.bsp.ulaval.ca/modeles/FES-Gervais-Encadrement/pdf/directeur_maitrise.pdf).

# 1 Skills developed

The completion of a major work, such as a thesis or a supervised project, serves to develop several competencies specific to the MSc program. More specifically, this major project allows students to show they have attained the following learning goals and objectives<sup>3</sup>:

- **Goal 1:** Students acquire in-depth knowledge of a management field of specialization<sup>4</sup>
  - **Goal 1.1:** Students master the essential knowledge of their field of specialization
  - **Goal 1.2:** Students discourse on the current preoccupations in their field of specialization
- **Goal 2:** Students master the scientific approach necessary to conduct research in the administrative sciences
  - **Goal 2.1:** Students do an in-depth assessment of the scientific or professional literature pertinent to their field
  - **Goal 2.2:** Students apply methodological principles of a rigorous approach in their field of specialization
  - **Goal 2.3:** Students apply a rigorous approach to obtain pertinent results
- **Goal 3:** Students demonstrate critical thinking
  - **Goal 3.1:** Students work on a synthesis report using arguments from different schools of thought
  - **Goal 3.2:** Students draft a major work that includes a critical analysis of current knowledge
- **Goal 4:** Students work independently to complete a major work
  - **Goal 4.1:** Students work independently to plan a major work
  - **Goal 4.2:** Students use several sources to gather information on the topic of their major work
  - **Goal 4.3:** Students use the means necessary to resolve issues pertaining to the completion of a major work
- **Goal 5: Students are efficient communicators**
  - **Goal 5.1:** Students produce a major work of high quality
  - **Goal 5.2:** Students make professional, effective oral presentations
- **Goal 6:** Students display ethical, professional behaviour
  - **Goal 6.1:** Students are aware of ethical issues, and apply them in their practices (e.g., respect for intellectual property, confidentiality, REB)
  - **Goal 6.2:** Students display professional behaviour (e.g., respect for commitments, proper communication, courtesy)

---

<sup>3</sup> Objectives 3.1 ("Students work on a synthesis report using arguments from different schools of thought"), 5.2 ("Students make professional, effective oral presentations"), and 6.2 ("Students display professional behaviour") are not assessed in the production of a major work.

<sup>4</sup> Different versions of Objective 1.1 apply, depending on the specialization and the knowledge themes specific to the discipline.

## 2 Roles and responsibilities

Several people play an important role in supervising MSc studies, each having specific tasks and responsibilities.

### 2.1 Students

As the key player in their studies, students are responsible for their education and must play a major role in the supervisory relationship. Students must:

- be available to complete a thesis or a supervised project, which requires limiting time spent on other activities (work, personal responsibilities, etc.);
- focus the necessary attention on their major work and exercise due diligence in its completion;
- carefully choose a supervisor for their thesis or supervised project;
- carefully choose the topic for their thesis or supervised project, as they will need to work on the selected issue for an extended period of time;
- with their supervisor, decide on the terms and conditions of the supervision; for example, drawing up a collaboration agreement and updating it regularly (see section 3.4);
- submit their texts or other deliverables in compliance with the terms and conditions agreed upon with their supervisor, and within reasonable delays to allow time for reading and assessing the documents;
- report their progress to their supervisor at the stipulated frequency;
- inform their supervisor of any problems that may affect the progress of their work and ask for their help if required;
- be available for meetings during the course of their program and inform their supervisor of any prolonged absence;
- be open to their supervisor's advice and suggestions;
- acquaint themselves with and follow the policies and regulations of HEC Montréal.

- ➔ Students must pay particular attention to respecting the conditions applying to their thesis or supervised project, such as the deadline for submitting the supervised project or enrolling in the research workshop, registering their thesis topic and submitting a study plan.
- ➔ For example, students cannot begin their supervised project within an organization prior to their registration, which implies having submitted the required forms (approval, confidentiality protocol, Research Ethics Board, etc.,) and having paid their tuition. This is not a simple administrative whim, but actual protection for students in case of problems in the organization (for example, work accident).
- ➔ Students are also responsible for reporting their project to the Research Ethics Board, if applicable, and to comply with the research ethics rules that HEC Montréal is committed to respecting in all research projects.

## 2.2 Thesis or project supervisor

The thesis or supervised project supervisor is responsible for guiding students in the completion of their major work and for assessing their results. Students must:

- be sufficiently knowledgeable in the subject matter of the student's thesis or supervised project to supervise the work;
- have an interest in the topic of the student's thesis or supervised project;
- be available to invest the required amount of time to supervise the student (according to the number of students supervised during that period, of courses assigned, or of ongoing research projects);
- help students define a project for their major work, based on the supervisor's field of expertise, students' goals, and MSc program requirements;
- with students, decide on the terms and conditions of supervision, by drawing up a collaboration agreement and updating it regularly (see section 3.4), for example;
- hold regular meetings with students to assess the progress of their work;
- respond in a timely manner to students' calls or e-mails;
- inform students of any prolonged absence and plan terms and conditions of supervision during that period of time, when applicable;
- set up an action plan with the student in case of problems with the progress of their work;
- read and correct the various versions of students' work in a timely manner and according to the agreement;
- assess the progress of the student's work;
- give the required attention to students' work and show diligence in supervising their work;
- offer constructive advice to students;
- be flexible and sensitive to personal aspects that may influence students' progress (for example, family situation, health, etc.);
- acquaint themselves with and follow the policies and regulations of HEC Montréal.

- ➔ As one of the learning objectives of the MSc program is to develop autonomy in the production of a major work, supervisors must do their part while allowing students to be autonomous. With this in mind, supervisors should not be omnipresent, impose a topic for the thesis or project, systematically tell students what to do, or constantly watch over their work. Students must take matters into their own hands.
- ➔ Notwithstanding the previous paragraph, the supervisor must actively participate in defining the project for the major work before accepting it. This is particularly true for projects within organizations for which deliverables and terms must sometimes be negotiated by a representative. The supervisor is well positioned to assess the extent of the work to be done based on available resources, students' competencies, and MSc program requirements.

- ➔ Supervisors also help to draw up and periodically update the study plan. By validating the student's study plan, the thesis director or project supervisor helps to ensure that the student carries out the plan, provided the student makes the required effort.
- ➔ The professor takes an educational role in the supervisory relationship. While remaining sensitive to personal issues that may influence students' progress, the supervisor cannot take on the role of a psychologist or of a financial or career advisor. Students who are facing a particular situation that is outside of the supervisory relationship, but that may affect the progress of their studies, must immediately contact [Student Services](#) to obtain the required assistance.

### 2.3 Specialization academic advisors

Specialization academic advisors are responsible for guiding students through the course of their MSc studies. They can advise students on the choice of a particular stream (thesis or supervised project) and help in selecting a supervisor. Specialization academic advisors may:

- help students select the stream that is most appropriate based on their profile and goals;
- advise students on courses to take to gain the required skill set to complete a thesis or supervised project in their chosen field;
- inform students of the professors who are most likely to supervise them based on students' profiles and professors' expertise;
- schedule meetings between professors and students to present them with a diversity of topics for a thesis or supervised project;
- advise students on the choice of a supervisor and;
- generally speaking, inform students of administrative rules and the importance of respecting deadlines for registering their thesis or supervised project topic, enrolling in the research workshop and submitting their study plan.

Specialization academic advisors must also approve the description of the thesis or supervised project and the choice of a supervisor, as well as the contents of the study plan for students in the thesis track.

Specialization academic advisors can also act as mediators in disputes between students and their supervisors (see section 4.2 below), but they cannot replace supervisors. In case of a disagreement between the professor and the supervisor, the opinion of the latter will prevail.

## 2.4 MSc Program Director

The goal of the Program Director is to create conditions necessary to ensure that students succeed and that the program's learning objectives are reached. Therefore, the Program Director must approve the choice of research supervisor, the description of the thesis or supervised project before they are completed and, in the case of a thesis, the proposed study plan.

The Program Director also approves applications to write a thesis or supervised project report in a language other than that of the program. He or she also approves applications to write theses by articles.

The Program Director also oversees the composition of the jury that will evaluate the thesis or supervised project submitted by the student.

Additionally, the Program Director can, if informed, help students who are having difficulties, refer them to appropriate resources at HEC Montréal, or even act as a mediator in case of a dispute between students and their supervisors. Students who are experiencing difficulties should not hesitate to immediately contact the MSc Program Director (see section 4.3 below).

## 3 Supervisory relationship: step by step

### 3.1 Student self-assessment

Before beginning their major work, or even before starting their Master's program, students must make sure they are ready to take on their roles and responsibilities (see section 2.1). For this purpose, students can reflect on their availability, their personal abilities, and the progress of their project:

#### *Self-assessment questions on availability*

- Am I available full time to complete my thesis or supervised project?
- Do I have limited professional responsibilities?
- Do my personal responsibilities allow me to spend 40 hours per week on my thesis or supervised project?
- Do I have the financial means to survive without holding a full-time job?
- Do I have a professor who is ready to supervise my thesis or supervised project?
- Have I determined a realistic collaboration agreement with my supervisor?
- Am I available to meet with my supervisor in accordance with the agreed terms?
- Have I completed the required courses before starting my major work?
- Have I read the policies and regulations of HEC Montréal?

→ As a student, if you answered "no" to one of the questions above, you should take some time to thoroughly assess your availability for your studies. Take the time to discuss this with your supervisor to ensure the time spent on your responsibilities allows you to adequately progress on your thesis or project. [Student Services](#) can also assist you in finding



resources to finance your education. When applicable, you may need to postpone the completion of your major work. Program requirements cannot be reduced to accommodate limited availability, but the deadline for the major work can be modified.

### ***Self-assessment questions on personal abilities***

- Do I know why I am doing a thesis or a supervised project?
- Do I have the self-discipline necessary to give my thesis or supervised project due consideration?
- Am I able to refuse interesting offers that could impede the progress of my studies?
- Am I sufficiently autonomous to submit deliverables according to the terms agreed upon with my supervisor?
- Do I have the necessary skills for the activities in the collaboration agreement?
- Am I open to advice and suggestions?
- Is my mind free of any significant preoccupations that could impede the progress of my major work (e.g.: personal or family situation, physical or psychological health, distance, social network, etc.)?

➔ As a student, if you answered "no" to one of the questions above, you should take some time to thoroughly assess personal obstacles that could impede the progress of your studies. You can contact [Student Services](#), which can provide you with a series of tools to help you succeed. You should also discuss this with your supervisor, who may suggest solutions to upgrade your skills (e.g.: writing or data analysis).

## 3.2 Choosing a topic and a supervisor

The most important decision students need to make during their MSc studies is choosing the topic for their thesis or supervised project. The best advice is to choose a topic you are passionate about! On average, students will work full-time between four and eight months<sup>5</sup> on this topic, so it is essential to find it interesting from the start. Other criteria may be considered, such as students' competencies on the subject or its usefulness for their future goals (e.g.: their professional goals).

The second most important decision is choosing a supervisor. Students are responsible for choosing a professor who will be able to adequately supervise their thesis or project. The main selection criteria are:

- professor's expertise in the student's field of interest;
- professor's availability;
- compatibility between the professor and the student in terms of goals, work methods, and expectations.

Students may use several approaches to identify a supervisor:

- contact the specialization academic advisor to get information on professors interested in the student's topic;
- consult professors' web pages on HEC Montréal's [website](#);
- speak with fellow students and contact the [student association](#) that may refer them to other colleagues in their specialization;
- pitch their thesis or supervised project idea to professors teaching in the MSc program and ask for their advice;
- enrol in a class taught by professors they would like as a supervisor, if possible.

Once students have identified a few professors who may be able to supervise their work, they should meet with them, present their fields of interest, and find out what projects they are currently working on. This meeting will allow students to check each professor's availability and, mainly, the compatibility between the student and the professor.

→ Do not hesitate to speak with several professors and give yourself time to think before choosing a supervisor; make sure to inform all of them of your final decision. Just speaking to a professor about your thesis or supervised project does not constitute a commitment on your part to select that person; you have the right to meet with several professors before making your final decision.

---

<sup>5</sup> Depending on whether it is a thesis or a supervised project.

Professors are not obliged to supervise all students who contact them; they must ensure they have the necessary expertise and availability for this work. So it is possible for some professors to refuse to supervise students. If this occurs repeatedly, students should contact their specialization academic advisor to assess their options. For example, the specialization academic advisor could suggest that students contact a professor from another department or that they change their initial project to better correspond to the expertise of professors in their field of specialization.

Students should also keep in mind that their chosen supervisor will be busy with multiple commitments. Students have the responsibility to respect their commitments and to inform their supervisor of any change that may affect their progress. They must also stay in regular contact with their supervisor; students should not expect a supervisor to contact them if they do not hear from them for a long time. Similarly, students should not expect a supervisor to be available to respond quickly and at any time to their requests, especially if students have themselves neglected to inform them of the progress of their project.

A study plan, clearly stating deadlines and deliverables, is a valuable means of ensuring that the supervisor and student both understand their commitments. Updating the study plan through regular progress reports also ensures a quality relationship throughout this supervisory process.

### 3.3 Deciding to supervise a student

The decision to supervise a student's thesis or project is an important one and involves a personal commitment on the part of the professor. Professors are responsible for the selection of theses and projects they agree to supervise; thus, professors may take some time to think before rendering their decision. The main decision criteria are:

- professor's expertise in the student's field of interest;
- availability;
- compatibility between the professor and the student in terms of goals, work methods, and expectations.

Even though professors adapt their supervisory style to the personality, needs, and motivation of students, they tend to have a preferred approach. Studies<sup>6</sup> have identified three approaches to supervise graduate students:

- the directive approach consists of giving students relatively specific guidelines and instructions;
- the collaborative approach, where professors guide students in a problem-solving process while ensuring they stay focused on the issue;
- the non-directive approach requires that professors expose students to different experiences that will help them find their own solutions to problems that arise.

---

<sup>6</sup> Glickman, C. D., S. P. Gordon, & J. M. Ross-Gordon (2013). *SuperVision and Instructional Leadership: A Developmental Approach*, 9th ed. London, Pearson, 480 p.

Professors should not hesitate to clearly indicate their preferred supervisory style. Obviously, as students progress, professors may find it necessary to modify their approach to make sure they use the most appropriate combination that will allow students to progress.

Professors can also set conditions before agreeing to supervise a student. For example, they can insist a student take a specific course before beginning their project or that a student complete certain sections of a project before a specific deadline. However, these conditions cannot wrongfully limit the progress of a student's studies.

→ As a thesis or supervised project supervisor, it is important that you clearly express your expectations and evaluation criteria before agreeing to supervise a student. If you have doubts about a project's feasibility or limits, these should also be mentioned at this time. This means that if students decide to work with you, they will do so transparently.

### 3.4 Collaboration agreement

Once students have found a professor willing to supervise them and both parties have agreed on the topic of the thesis or supervised project, it is recommended that they specify mutual expectations to ensure students and professors are on the same page and to limit any ambiguity regarding each person's responsibilities from the start. Many of these elements are stated in the study plan, but clarifying a number of additional points may contribute to the necessary discussion between the student and supervisor at the beginning of the supervisory process.

The subjects discussed concern:

- The content of the project:
  - The project's scope and breadth according to program requirements and students' ambitions (e.g.: wish to pursue doctoral studies, to work in a given field)
  - Expected contributions from the student
  - Steps and deadlines
  - Format of deliverables
  - Relationship with the organization or the work environment where the project or thesis takes place
  - Ethical terms related to research on human subjects (see the [Research Ethics Board](#) website).
- Terms of supervision:
  - Clarify mutual expectations
  - Clarify each person's tasks and responsibilities
  - Form and frequency of meetings and discussions
  - Expected availability and autonomy
  - Expected level of effort from the student
  - Terms in the event of a prolonged absence by either party
  - Terms in the event that commitments are not upheld
  - Terms in the event of a disagreement or dispute
- Resources available to the student:

- Educational resources (e.g.: identifying the main literature on the chosen topic)
- Material resources (e.g.: office space)
- Informational and educational resources (e.g.: library, business communication training centre, software)
- Financial resources (e.g.: scholarships from the university or granting agencies)

Depending on the situation, other precise elements can be specified when expectations are being set:

- Integration of the student in a research team or project
- Specific terms for research that is funded or contractual
- Specific terms relating to intellectual property
- Participation in research seminars, conferences or conventions
- Participation in publications
- Financial support from the professor

The expectations clarified in this way constitute a collaboration agreement, which is usually oral; deliverables, deadlines and the main terms are defined in writing in the proposed study plan. This agreement needs to be revised periodically and, when necessary, adjusted for specific situations that may arise. To prepare the study plan, and more generally to clarify mutual expectations, we have prepared Appendices 1 and 2 to help students identify potential subjects to be discussed with the supervisor.

### **3.5 Completing a thesis or supervised project**

Once expectations have been clearly defined, students can begin their major work. To ensure that the work is done according to plan, professors and students must communicate effectively, optimize their meetings, and regularly assess work progress.

#### ***Effective communication***

A successful supervisory relationship greatly depends on effective communication, in person or remotely. Certain principles can promote clear and effective communication:

- planning regular meetings, and respecting the schedule;
- avoiding having only remote communications (by phone, e-mail or video conference) and opting to alternate between meetings and electronic communication;
- preparing regular reports on work progress;
- preparing minutes from meetings (or phone conversations) to ensure proper understanding;
- not hesitating to question, restate or specify your thoughts;
- writing clear instructions (from supervisors to students) and questions (from students to supervisors);
- avoiding intermediaries and planning times to communicate directly;
- not leaving the other person without an answer, and aiming to specify a later date to respond rather than leaving the other person waiting;
- taking into account cultural or linguistic differences, when applicable.

### ***Optimize your meetings***

Carrying out a major work involves an important amount of work and a significant personal investment for students. It also requires a significant investment of time for professors who have, additionally, multiple commitments. It is thus in both parties' best interest to optimize their meetings to make them productive. To this end, it is recommended that:

- a calendar of meetings be agreed upon, leaving room for ad hoc meetings when a situation calls for one;
- students take responsibility for confirming the scheduled meetings;
- parties be prepared by writing down questions and issues to discuss (see Appendix 2);
- documents to be read and commented on be submitted sufficiently ahead of time, by an agreed deadline;
- minutes of the meetings be prepared.

### ***Regular assessments***

One of the most important factors contributing to success when drafting a major work is receiving regular assessments on progress. These assessments should be sufficiently frequent to quickly target and correct any problem that may impede progress of the work. These assessments can be done during a regular meeting and make it possible to go beyond answering students' ad hoc questions. They make it possible to:

- ensure students' continued progress;
- openly discuss difficulties that were encountered;
- discuss perceived problems and collaboratively determine corrective measures, when applicable;
- remind parties of mutual expectations;
- adjust the initial project, when necessary.

Additionally, at different predetermined steps, students submit texts to their supervisor (section plan, portions of chapters or entire chapters) for which they expect to receive fast and detailed feedback. Various aspects of these assessments should be agreed upon beforehand:

- status of the submitted document: does the supervisor wish to receive drafts of the work plan, commented texts, relatively advanced versions, texts that are considered to be definitive before submission?
- format: does the supervisor wish to receive draft versions, versions that have been spell checked, versions with final layout?
- level of feedback: is it a quick review of a text that has been presented a few times, an in-depth correction that includes content and form, a specific assessment on certain elements of the text?
- speed of feedback: does the deadline give the supervisor sufficient time for the type of reading required to provide the level of feedback expected?

In the vast majority of situations, students should expect to have to submit several versions. This kind of process is a good introduction to the profession of researcher. Corrections and questions

are inherent to the process of writing a thesis or a supervised project. Students must support their viewpoints and approaches but above all must remain open to comments and requests from a more-experienced scholar.

## 4 What to do when there is a disagreement

Despite the care taken to develop a fruitful and harmonious relationship between the supervisor and the student, differences of opinion or misunderstandings during the completion of a major work may occur. It is crucial to intervene before a disagreement degenerates into a more serious conflict that could slow down, or even compromise, the completion of a major work.

There are several successive steps to this type of intervention.

### 4.1 Communication between a supervisor and a student

The first step to dealing with a disagreement is to discuss the source of the disagreement without delay. To avoid any misunderstanding, a face-to-face meeting is preferable. This meeting aims to:

- clearly determine the source of the disagreement;
- differentiate between facts and perceptions to avoid the latter being a source of misunderstanding;
- remind everyone of mutual commitments and expectations;
- adjust the collaboration agreement, when applicable;
- arrive at an understanding for the work going forward.

The meeting can be organized by the supervisor or the student. However, since students are usually the ones that have the most to lose from a deterioration in the supervisory relationship, they should take the initiative if they feel the differences of opinion could harm the relationship.

→ Before going forward with your process, you should tell your supervisor that you are uncomfortable with the misunderstanding, be it actual or perceived. This is not a pleasant process, but it demonstrates your motivation and commitment to complete your major work. You should not fear any possible negative repercussions (e.g.: bad grade) if you handle the matter professionally, that is by relying on objective facts and the collaboration agreement.

### 4.2 Appealing to the academic advisor

When faced with a conflict that is more serious or that persists despite communication between the supervisor and the student, it is possible to appeal to the academic advisor to request that he or she act as a resource person. For example, the academic advisor can ensure that each party's expectations are reasonable in comparison to the usual standards found in the field of specialisation. When applicable, the academic advisor can recommend that the supervisor and the student end their relationship, and could guide the student in the selection of a new

supervisor. If the academic advisor feels the situation is too delicate to act as a mediator, the student may be referred to the Program Director.

→ Do not hesitate to contact your academic advisor for advice on how to manage a delicate situation. He or she may give you helpful advice that will shed more light on your supervisor's point of view. Be assured that your consultation will remain confidential and the academic advisor will not act without your authorization. Your academic advisor can also refer you to the right people if it is judged that the situation requires it.

### 4.3 Appealing to the Program Director

When the situation has deteriorated to the point that bridges have been burned, the professor or student may appeal to the Program Director, who will act as a mediator to get the academic path back to normal. The Program Director's intervention is guided by the principle of student success, while respecting program requirements. The Program Director will review the basis of the conflict and attempt to settle the dispute. When applicable, the Program Director can advise parties to end their relationship and to take necessary measures for students to return to work on their project.

As a last resort, if students or supervisors are not satisfied after all these processes, they can contact the [Ombudsman](#) to get an external opinion on the situation.



# Appendix 1 - Items to cover in a collaboration agreement

## 1. Presentations

- Presentation of the student (CV, transcript, list of courses to be taken)
- Presentation of the professor (field of expertise)

## 2. Content of project

- Objectives of the project
- Scope of the project (e.g.: steps to be completed by the student, expected depth of analysis)
- Expected student productions (or deliverables)
- Project steps
- Preliminary agreement on deadlines
- Relationship with the organization or the work environment where the project or thesis takes place
- Ethical terms related to research on human subjects (e.g.: adding a project to an already approved research program)

## 3. Terms of supervision

- Clarify each person's tasks and responsibilities
- Agree on the format and frequency of communications and meetings (e.g.: communication frequency, format, agenda)
- Clarify expected availability of the professor, as well as expected availability and autonomy of the student
- Specify the student's expected level of effort
- Terms in the event of a prolonged absence by either party
- Terms in the event that commitments are not upheld
- Agree on the student's integration into a research team or project

## 4. Resources available to the student

- Educational resources (e.g.: identifying the main literature on the chosen topic)
- Material resources (e.g.: office space)
- Informational resources (e.g.: library, business communication training centre, software)
- Financial resources (e.g.: scholarships from the university or granting agencies, salary negotiated with the organization)

## 5. Other items to discuss

- Specific terms for research that is funded or contractual
- Specific terms relating to intellectual property
- Participation in research seminars, conferences or conventions
- Participation in publications
- Financial support from the professor

## **Appendix 2**

### **Items to discuss during the first student-professor meeting**

#### **1. Presentation of the student**

- Brief CV
- List of courses taken and transcript
- Plan of MSc courses to be taken (including preparatory or foundational courses)
- Expectations relative to the deadline to complete the thesis or supervised project
- Specific constraints that may affect the completion of the work or project (e.g.: work, family responsibilities)

#### **2. Presentation of the professor**

- Fields of expertise (courses taught, publications, theses or supervised projects)
- Ongoing research projects (with or without funding)
- Other current supervision of theses or supervised projects
- Availability for supervision

#### **3. Discussion of the proposed thesis or supervised project**

- Student's motivation for the subject
- Student's competency (e.g.: courses taken that are relevant to the topic)
- Match between the topic and the professor's field of expertise or of interest
- Feasibility of the project (in light of the type of project and each person's constraints)
- Student's flexibility on the topic or work plan

#### **4. Discussion of the work plan**

- List steps to be taken
- Discuss each person's responsibilities at each step (e.g.: finding a work environment)
- Discuss the supervisor's requirements at each step
- Propose a preliminary work plan

#### **5. Discussion of the terms of supervision**

- Clarify each person's tasks and responsibilities
- Agree on the format and frequency of communications and meetings (e.g.: communication frequency, format, agenda)
- Clarify expected availability of the professor, as well as expected availability and autonomy of the student
- Specify the student's expected level of effort
- Terms in the event of a prolonged absence by either party
- Terms in the event that commitments are not upheld
- Agree on the student's integration into a research team or project

The first version of this document was prepared by Professor Anne Bourhis in 2014. Thereafter, the document was amended by the MSc Program Director in 2017.